

0

Friday, April 18, 2008

Part II

Department of the Treasury

31 CFR Part 103

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network; Withdrawal of Notice and Proposed Rulemaking Against the Republic of Nauru; Notice and Proposed Rule

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network; Withdrawal of Notice of the Finding of the Republic of Nauru as a Primary Money Laundering Concern

AGENCY: Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, Department of the Treasury. **ACTION:** Withdrawal of the finding.

SUMMARY: This document withdraws the Department of the Treasury's December 26, 2002 notice of the finding of the Republic of Nauru ("Nauru") as a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern pursuant to the authority contained in 31 U.S.C. 5318A of the Bank Secrecy Act.¹ DATES: The finding is withdrawn as of

April 18, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Regulatory Policy and Programs Division, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, (800) 949–2732.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On October 26, 2001, the President signed into law the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001, Public Law 107–56 ("USA PATRIOT Act"). Title III of the USA PATRIOT Act amends the anti-money laundering provisions of the Bank Secrecy Act, codified at 12 U.S.C. 1829b, 12 U.S.C. 1951-1959, and 31 U.S.C. 5311-5314 and 5316-5332, to promote the prevention, detection, and prosecution of money laundering and the financing of terrorism. Regulations implementing the Bank Secrecy Act appear at 31 CFR part 103. The authority of the Secretary of the Treasury (''the Šecretary'') to

administer the Bank Secrecy Act and its implementing regulations has been delegated to the Director of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.²

Section 311 of the USA PATRIOT Act added section 5318A to the Bank Secrecy Act, granting the Secretary the authority to find a foreign jurisdiction, financial institution, class of transactions, or type of account as being of "primary money laundering concern," and to impose one or more of five "special measures" with respect to such a jurisdiction, financial institution, class of transactions, or type of account.³ Section 5318A specifies those factors that the Secretary must consider before finding a jurisdiction, financial institution, transaction, or account as of "primary money laundering concern."

II. The 2002 Finding and Subsequent Developments

A. The 2002 Finding

Based upon review and analysis of relevant information, consultations with relevant Federal agencies and departments, and after consideration of the factors enumerated in section 5318A, the Secretary found that reasonable grounds existed for concluding that Nauru was a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern. This finding was published on December 26, 2002,⁴ pursuant to the authority under 31

³ Available special measures include requiring: (1) Recordkeeping and reporting of certain financial transactions; (2) collection of information relating to beneficial ownership; (3) collection of information relating to certain payable-through accounts; (4) collection of information relating to certain correspondent accounts; and (5) prohibition or conditions on the opening or maintaining of correspondent or payable-through accounts. 31 U.S.C. 5318A(b)(1)–(5). For a complete discussion of the range of possible countermeasures, see 68 FR 18917 (April 17, 2003) (proposing to impose special measures against Nauru).

⁴ 67 FR 78859 (Dec. 26, 2002).

U.S.C. 5318A. The Department of the Treasury based its finding on the veil of secrecy surrounding the Republic of Nauru's banking system, its practice of selling offshore banking licenses, and its continued failure to enact adequate procedures for licensing, regulating, and supervising offshore banks. For many of the same reasons, the Financial Action Task Force had placed the Republic of Nauru on the Non-Cooperative Countries and Territories list in June 2000.

B. Subsequent Jurisdictional Developments

Since the Department of the Treasury's December 20, 2002 finding, Nauru has taken remedial measures to address the deficiencies in its antimoney laundering regime. In consideration of Nauru's remedial measures and in light of actions taken by the Financial Action Task Force we have decided to withdraw the finding of Nauru as a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern under 31 U.S.C. 5318A.⁵

III. Withdrawal of the Finding of Nauru as a Primary Laundering Concern

For the foregoing reasons, the finding that the Republic of Nauru is a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern for purposes of section 5318A of title 31 as published in the **Federal Register** on December 26, 2002 (67 FR 78859), is hereby withdrawn.

Dated: April 14, 2008.

James H. Freis, Jr.,

Director, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.

[FR Doc. E8–8390 Filed 4–17–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–02–P

¹ The Department of Treasury's December 26, 2002 notice with respect to Nauru was characterized as a "designation" rather than a "finding." In subsequent notices pertaining to similar actions, including in the present withdrawal, we have replaced the word "designation" with "finding."

² Therefore, references to the authority of the Secretary under section 311 of the USA PATRIOT Act apply equally to the Director of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.

⁵ For a discussion of Nauru's remedial measures and of the actions taken by the Financial Action Task Force, refer to Financial Crimes Enforcement Network; Withdrawal of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking against the Republic of Nauru, published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

31 CFR Part 103

RIN 1506-AA91

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network; Withdrawal of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Against the Republic of Nauru

AGENCY: Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, Treasury. **ACTION:** Withdrawal of the notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document withdraws the April 17, 2003 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing to impose a special measure pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 5318A.

DATES: The notice of proposed rulemaking is withdrawn as of April 18, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Regulatory Policy and Programs Division, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, (800) 949–2732.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On October 26, 2001, the President signed into law the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (the USA PATRIOT Act), Public Law 107-56. Title III of the USA PATRIOT Act amends the anti-money laundering provisions of the Bank Secrecy Act, codified at 12 U.S.C. 1829b, 12 U.S.C. 1951-1959, and 31 U.S.C. 5311-5314, 5316-5332, to promote the prevention, detection, and prosecution of international money laundering and the financing of terrorism. Regulations implementing the Bank Secrecy Act appear at 31 CFR part 103. The authority of the Secretary of the Treasury ("the Secretary") to administer the Bank Secrecy Act and its implementing regulations has been delegated to the Director of the **Financial Crimes Enforcement** Network.¹

Section 311 of the USA PATRIOT Act added section 5318A to the Bank Secrecy Act, granting the Secretary the authority, upon finding that reasonable grounds exist for concluding that a foreign jurisdiction, foreign financial institution, class of international transactions, or type of account is of "primary money laundering concern," to require domestic financial institutions and domestic financial agencies to take certain "special measures" against the primary money laundering concern.²

Taken as a whole, section 5318A provides the Secretary with a range of options that can be adapted to target specific money laundering and terrorist financing concerns most effectively. These options provide the authority to bring additional and useful pressure on those jurisdictions and institutions that pose money laundering threats and the ability to take steps to protect the U.S. financial system. Through the imposition of various special measures, we can: Gain more information about the concerned jurisdictions, financial institutions, transactions, and accounts; monitor more effectively the respective jurisdictions, financial institutions, transactions, and accounts; and ultimately protect U.S. financial institutions from involvement with jurisdictions, financial institutions, transactions, or accounts that pose a money laundering concern.

II. The 2002 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Subsequent Developments

A. The 2002 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

On December 20, 2002, the Department of the Treasury issued a finding that Nauru was a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern under 31 U.S.C. 5318A.3 After the December 20, 2002 finding, based upon review and analysis of relevant information, consultations with relevant federal agencies and departments, and after consideration of the factors enumerated in section 5318A, on April 17, 2003, the Secretary, through his delegate, the Director of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would impose the fifth special measure

³67 FR 78859 (Dec. 26, 2002).

under section 5318A.⁴ The fifth special measure would prohibit all covered U.S. financial institutions from establishing, maintaining, administering or managing correspondent accounts ⁵ for or on behalf of any financial institution from or in Nauru.⁶

B. Subsequent Jurisdictional Developments

Since the Department of the Treasury's December 20, 2002 finding of Nauru as a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern and our April 17, 2003 issuance of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Nauru has taken steps to address the deficiencies in its anti-money laundering regime that led to those actions. First, Nauru amended its anti-money laundering law to abolish the offshore banking sector and as a result, there are currently no offshore banks licensed to operate in Nauru. In particular, Nauru's Corporation Amendment Act of 2004 caused offshore banking licenses to expire without the possibility of renewal. Second, the legal framework was amended to criminalize money laundering. Third, Nauru law now mandates suspicious transaction reporting.

In October 2004, the Financial Action Task Force withdrew counter-measures against Nauru due to its efforts to reform its banking system, progress made in that regard, and its resolve to continue addressing any remaining anti-money laundering deficiencies.⁷ On October 13, 2005, the Financial Action Task Force removed Nauru from the Non-**Cooperative Countries and Territories** list due to the closure of its offshore financial center, which was the primary reason for Nauru being placed on the list.⁸ In consideration of Nauru's remedial measures that addressed deficiencies in its anti-money laundering regime, and in light of

⁷Financial Action Task Force, "FATF Targets Cross-Border Cash Movements By Terrorists and Criminals" (Financial Action Task Force members have withdrawn the counter-measures against Myanmar and Nauru.) (Oct. 2004).

¹ Therefore, references to the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury under section 311 of the USA PATRIOT Act apply equally to the Director of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.

² If the Secretary of the Treasury determines that a foreign jurisdiction, financial institution, class of transactions, or type of account is of primary money laundering concern, the Secretary or his delegate, the Director of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, must determine the appropriate special measure(s) to address the specific money laundering risks. Section 311 of the USA PATRIOT Act provides a range of special measures that can be imposed, individually, jointly, in any combination, and in any sequence. Available special measures include requiring: (1) Recordkeeping and reporting of certain financial transactions; (2) collection of information relating to beneficial ownership; (3) collection of information relating to certain payable-through accounts; (4) collection of information relating to certain correspondent accounts; and (5) prohibition or conditions on the opening or maintaining of correspondent or payable-through accounts. 31 U.S.C. 5318A(b)(1)-(5).

⁴68 FR 18917 (Apr. 17, 2003, RIN 1506–AA43).

 $^{^5}$ A correspondent account is defined as an account established by a bank for a foreign bank to receive deposits from, or make payments or other disbursements on behalf of, a foreign bank, or handle other financial transactions related to the foreign bank. See 31 CFR § 103.175(d).

⁶ After the expiration of the comment period, we received one comment letter on the notice of proposed rulemaking from the Republic of Nauru.

⁸October 2005 Financial Action Task Force Plenary Meeting, "FATF will explore the symbiotic relationship among corruption, money laundering and terrorist financing" (The Financial Action Task Force removed Nauru from its list of noncooperative countries and territories after Nauru abolished its 400 shell banks, thus removing this major money laundering risk.) (Oct. 13, 2005).

actions taken by the Financial Action Task Force, we have decided to withdraw the notice of proposed rulemaking imposing the fifth special measure and the related finding of Nauru as a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern under 31 U.S.C. 5318A.⁹

⁹See Financial Crimes Enforcement Network; Withdrawal of Notice of the Finding of the Republic

III. Withdrawal of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

For the foregoing reasons, the notice of proposed rulemaking imposing the fifth special measure for purposes of 31 U.S.C. 5318A, as published in the

of Nauru as a Primary Money Laundering Concern, published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

Federal Register on April 17, 2003 (68 FR 18917), is hereby withdrawn.

Dated: April 14, 2008.

James H. Freis, Jr.

Director, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. [FR Doc. E8–8392 Filed 4–17–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–02–P